1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TACOMA	
10	WILLIAM CURRY, JR.,	
11	Petitioner,	CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05314-RBL-JRC
12	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL
13	WILLIAM VAN HOOK,	
14	Respondent.	
15	Before the Court is petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel. Dkt. 8. He filed an	
16	amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging his civil commitment as a sexually	
17	violent predator. Dkt. 6. He alleges violations of his Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth	
18	Amendment rights. <i>Id</i> . Under a separate order, the Court directed service of the petition. Dkt. 7.	
19	Respondents filed a response on August 16, 2017. Dkt. 12.	
20	There is no right to appointed counsel in cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 unless an	
21	evidentiary hearing is required or such appointment is necessary for the effective utilization of	
22	discovery procedures. See McCleskey v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 495 (1991); United States v.	
23	Duarte-Higareda, 68 F.3d 369, 370 (9th Cir. 19	95); United States v. Angelone, 894 F.2d 1129,
24		

1130 (9th Cir. 1990); Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983); Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts 6(a) and 8(c). The Court may appoint counsel "at any stage of the case if the interest of justice so requires." Weygandt, 718 F.2d at 954 (quoting Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts 8(c)). In deciding whether to appoint counsel, the Court "must evaluate the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." *Id*.

Here, the Court directed service of the petition and the time for filing an answer has not run. *See* Dkt. 5. As the response has only recently been filed, the Court has not yet found good cause for granting leave to conduct discovery and has not determined an evidentiary hearing will be required. *See* Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts 6(a) and 8(c). Furthermore, despite any legal complexities, petitioner has effectively articulated his grounds for relief raised in the petition. Petitioner has not shown the interest of justice otherwise require the Court to appoint counsel at this stage.

Accordingly, petitioner's motion for the appointment of counsel (Dkt. 8) is denied without prejudice.

Dated this 16th day of August, 2017.

J. Richard Creatura

United States Magistrate Judge